

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

versus

CHALMER DETLING, II

Criminal Action No.:
1:18-CR-00309-LMM-LTW-1

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE

COMES NOW Defendant, CHALMER DETLING, II, and requests the instructions to the jury attached hereto and numbered 1 through 14.

Dated: This 18th day of October, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Caitlyn Wade

Caitlyn Wade

Georgia Bar No. 259114

s/ Suzanne Hashimi

Suzanne Hashimi

Georgia Bar No. 335616

FEDERAL DEFENDER PROGRAM, INC.

Suite 1500, Centennial Tower

101 Marietta Street, N.W.

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

(404) 688-7530

Caitlyn_Wade@fd.org

Suzanne_Hashimi@fd.org

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 1

The Duty to Follow Instructions And the Presumption of Innocence

Your decision must be based only on the evidence presented here. You must not be influenced in any way by either sympathy for or prejudice against the Defendant or the Government.

You must follow the law as I explain it – even if you do not agree with the law – and you must follow all of my instructions as a whole. You must not single out or disregard any of the Court's instructions on the law.

The indictment or formal charge against a defendant isn’t evidence of guilt. The law presumes every defendant is innocent. The Defendant does not have to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. The Government must prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. If it fails to do so, you must find the Defendant not guilty.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Basic Instruction 2.1

(ALTERNATIVE) DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 2

**The Duty to Follow Instructions and the Presumption Of Innocence
When a Defendant Does Not Testify**

Your decision must be based only on the evidence presented during the trial. You must not be influenced in any way by either sympathy for or prejudice against the Defendant or the Government.

You must follow the law as I explain it – even if you do not agree with the law – and you must follow all of my instructions as a whole. You must not single out or disregard any of the Court's instructions on the law.

The indictment or formal charge against a Defendant isn’t evidence of guilt. The law presumes every Defendant is innocent. The Defendant does not have to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. A Defendant does not have to testify, and if the Defendant chose not to testify, you cannot consider that in any way while making your decision. The Government must prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. If it fails to do so, you must find the Defendant not guilty.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Instruction, Basic Instruction 2.2

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 3

Definition of “Reasonable Doubt”

The Government's burden of proof is heavy, but it doesn't have to prove a Defendant's guilt beyond all possible doubt. The Government's proof only has to exclude any “reasonable doubt” concerning the Defendant's guilt.

A “reasonable doubt” is a real doubt, based on your reason and common sense after you’ve carefully and impartially considered all the evidence in the case.

“Proof beyond a reasonable doubt” is proof so convincing that you would be willing to rely and act on it without hesitation in the most important of your own affairs. If you are convinced that the Defendant has been proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, say so. If you are not convinced, say so.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Basic Instruction 3

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 4

Consideration of Direct and Circumstantial Evidence; Argument of Counsel; Comments by the Court

As I said before, you must consider only the evidence that I have admitted in the case. Evidence includes the testimony of witnesses and the exhibits admitted. But, anything the lawyers say is not evidence and isn’t binding on you.

You shouldn’t assume from anything I’ve said that I have any opinion about any factual issue in this case. Except for my instructions to you on the law, you should disregard anything I may have said during the trial in arriving at your own decision about the facts.

Your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence is what matters.

In considering the evidence you may use reasoning and common sense to make deductions and reach conclusions. You shouldn’t be concerned about whether the evidence is direct or circumstantial.

“Direct evidence” is the testimony of a person who asserts that he or she has actual knowledge of a fact, such as an eyewitness.

“Circumstantial evidence” is proof of a chain of facts and circumstances that tend to prove or disprove a fact. There’s no legal difference in the weight you may give to either direct or circumstantial evidence.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Basic Instruction 4

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 5

Credibility of Witnesses

When I say you must consider all the evidence, I don’t mean that you must accept all the evidence as true or accurate. You should decide whether you believe what each witness had to say, and how important that testimony was. In making that decision you may believe or disbelieve any witness, in whole or in part. The number of witnesses testifying concerning a particular point doesn’t necessarily matter.

To decide whether you believe any witness I suggest that you ask yourself a few questions:

- Did the witness impress you as one who was telling the truth?
- Did the witness have any particular reason not to tell the truth?
- Did the witness have a personal interest in the outcome of the case?
- Did the witness seem to have a good memory?
- Did the witness have the opportunity and ability to accurately observe the things he or she testified about?
- Did the witness appear to understand the questions clearly and answer them directly?
- Did the witness's testimony differ from other testimony or other evidence?

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Basic Instruction 5

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 6

Impeachment of Witnesses Because of Inconsistent Statements

You should also ask yourself whether there was evidence that a witness testified falsely about an important fact. And ask whether there was evidence that at some other time a witness said or did something, or didn’t say or do something, that was different from the testimony the witness gave during this trial.

But keep in mind that a simple mistake doesn’t mean a witness wasn’t telling the truth as he or she remembers it. People naturally tend to forget some things or remember them inaccurately. So, if a witness misstated something, you must decide whether it was because of an innocent lapse in memory or an intentional deception. The significance of your decision may depend on whether the misstatement is about an important fact or about an unimportant detail.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Basic Instruction 6.1

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 7

On or About a Particular Date; Knowingly; Willfully

You’ll see that the indictment charges that a crime was committed “on or about” a certain date. The Government doesn’t have to prove that the offense occurred on an exact date. The Government only has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime was committed on a date reasonably close to the date alleged.

The word “knowingly” means that an act was done voluntarily and intentionally and not because of a mistake or by accident.

The word “willfully” means that the act was committed voluntarily and purposely, with the intent to do something the law forbids; that is, with the bad purpose to disobey or disregard the law. While a person must have acted with the intent to do something the law forbids before you can find that the person acted “willfully,” the person need not be aware of the specific law or rule that his conduct may be violating.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Basic Instruction 9.1A

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 8

Caution: Punishment (Single Defendant, Multiple Counts)

Each count of the indictment charges a separate crime. You must consider each crime and the evidence relating to it separately. If you find the Defendant guilty or not guilty of one crime, that must not affect your verdict for any other crime.

I caution you that the Defendant is on trial only for the specific crimes charged in the indictment. You’re here to determine from the evidence in this case whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty of those specific crimes.

You must never consider punishment in any way to decide whether the Defendant is guilty. If you find the Defendant guilty, the punishment is for the Judge alone to decide later.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Basic Instruction 10.2

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 9

Duty to Deliberate

Your verdict, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous – in other words, you must all agree. Your deliberations are secret, and you’ll never have to explain your verdict to anyone.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after fully considering the evidence with the other jurors. So you must discuss the case with one another and try to reach an agreement. While you’re discussing the case, don’t hesitate to reexamine your own opinion and change your mind if you become convinced that you were wrong. But don’t give up your honest beliefs just because others think differently or because you simply want to get the case over with.

Remember that, in a very real way, you’re judges – judges of the facts. Your only interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in the case.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Basic Instruction 11

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 10

Verdict

When you get to the jury room, choose one of your members to act as foreperson. The foreperson will direct your deliberations and will speak for you in court.

A verdict form has been prepared for your convenience.

[Explain verdict]

Take the verdict form with you to the jury room. When you’ve all agreed on the verdict, your foreperson must fill in the form, sign it, date it, and carry it. Then you’ll return it to the courtroom.

If you wish to communicate with me at any time, please write down your message or question and give it to the marshal. The marshal will bring it to me and I’ll respond as promptly as possible – either in writing or by talking to you in the courtroom. But I caution you not to tell me how many jurors have voted one way or the other at that time.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Basic Instruction 12

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 11

Good-Faith Defense

“Good faith” is a complete defense to a charge that requires intent to defraud. A defendant isn’t required to prove good faith. The Government must prove intent to defraud beyond a reasonable doubt.

An honestly held opinion or an honestly formed belief cannot be fraudulent intent – even if the opinion or belief is mistaken. Similarly, evidence of a mistake in judgment, an error in management, or carelessness can’t establish fraudulent intent.

But an honest belief that a business venture would ultimately succeed doesn’t constitute good faith if the Defendant intended to deceive others by making representations the Defendant knew to be false or fraudulent.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Special Instruction 17

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 12

Wire Fraud 18 U.S.C. § 1343

It’s a Federal crime to use interstate wire, radio, or television communications to carry out a scheme to defraud someone else.

The Defendant can be found guilty of this crime only if all the following facts are proved beyond a reasonable doubt:

1. the Defendant knowingly devised or participated in a scheme to defraud someone by using false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;
2. the false pretenses, representations, or promises were about a material fact;
3. the Defendant acted with the intent to defraud; and
4. the Defendant transmitted or caused to be transmitted by wire some communication in interstate commerce to help carry out the scheme to defraud.

A “scheme to defraud” means any plan or course of action intended to deceive or cheat someone out of money or property by using false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises.

A statement or representation is “false” or “fraudulent” if it is about a material fact that the speaker knows is untrue or makes with reckless indifference to the truth, and makes with the intent to defraud. A statement or representation may be “false”

or “fraudulent” when it is a half-truth, or effectively conceals a material fact, and is made with the intent to defraud.

A “material fact” is an important fact that a reasonable person would use to decide whether to do or not do something. A fact is “material” if it has the capacity or natural tendency to influence a person’s decision. It doesn’t matter whether the decision-maker actually relied on the statement or knew or should have known that the statement was false.

To act with “intent to defraud” means to act knowingly and with the specific intent to use false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises to cause loss or injury. Proving intent to deceive alone, without the intent to cause loss or injury, is not sufficient to prove intent to defraud.

The Government does not have to prove all the details alleged in the indictment about the precise nature and purpose of the scheme. It also doesn’t have to prove that the material transmitted by interstate wire was itself false or fraudulent; or that using the wire was intended as the specific or exclusive means of carrying out the alleged fraud; or that the Defendant personally made the transmission over the wire. And it doesn’t have to prove that the alleged scheme actually succeeded in defrauding anyone.

To “use” interstate wire communications is to act so that something would normally be sent through wire, radio, or television communications in the normal course of business.

Each separate use of the interstate wire communications as part of the scheme to defraud is a separate crime.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Offense Instruction 51

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 13

Aggravated Identity Theft 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1)

It’s a Federal crime to commit aggravated identity theft.

The Defendant can be found guilty of aggravated identity theft only if all the following facts are proved beyond a reasonable doubt:

1. the Defendant knowingly transferred, possessed, or used another person’s means of identification;
2. without lawful authority; and
3. during and in relation to wire fraud.

A “means of identification” is any name or number used, alone or together with any other information, to identify a specific person, including a name, social security number, date of birth, officially issued driver’s license or identification number, alien registration number, passport number, employer or taxpayer identification number, or electronic identification number or routing code. It can also include a fingerprint, voice print or other biometric data.

The Government must prove that the Defendant knew that the means of identification, in fact, belonged to another actual person and not a fictitious person

The Government must prove that the Defendant knowingly transferred, possessed, or used another person’s identity “without lawful authority.” The Government does not have to prove that the Defendant stole the means of

identification. The Government is required to prove the Defendant transferred, possessed, or used the other person's means of identification for an unlawful or illegitimate purpose.

The Government also must prove that the means of identification was possessed "during and in relation to" the crime alleged in the indictment. The phrase "during and in relation to" means that there must be a firm connection between the Defendant, the means of identification, and the crime alleged in the indictment. The means of identification must have helped with some important function or purpose of the crime, and not simply have been there accidentally or coincidentally. The means of identification at least must facilitate, or have the potential of facilitating, the crime alleged in the indictment.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instructions, Offense Instruction 40.3

DEFENDANT’S REQUEST TO CHARGE NO. 14 (FOR PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS ONLY)

**Witness’s Prior Statement or Testimony
Explanatory Instruction**

Members of the Jury: If a witness is questioned about earlier statements or testimony the witness made, the questioning is permitted to aid you in evaluating the truth or accuracy of the witness's testimony at this trial.

A witness’s earlier testimony or statements are not ordinarily offered or received as evidence of their truth or accuracy. They are pointed out to give you a comparison and to help you decide whether you believe the witness's testimony.

Whether those prior statements are consistent or inconsistent with the witness’s trial testimony is entirely up to you.

I’ll give you additional instructions at the end of the trial about a number of things you may consider to determine the credibility or believability of the witnesses and the weight to give their testimony.

Eleventh Circuit Pattern Jury Instruction, Trial Instruction 2